

#### Our Ref - KClark -WCCC/6/11/24/SGBN A7941ET

Date: 6th October 2025

Judge Michael Chambers Wolverhampton Crown Court Centre Pipers Row Wolverhampton WV1 3LQ

Judge Chambers,

Common Legal Circle (CLC) is an Alternative Business Solution Body Elect under the Legal Services Act 2007.

CLC is a Litigant In Person (LIP) concentric online platform from which Litigant In Persons (LIPs) as aggrieved party/parties can freely subscribe to the CLC Platform in order to pursue a legal matter.

A LIP subscribing to the CLC Platform may utilise the CLC Platform on an autonomous basis whilst sharing in the Community Resources of CLC. One such CLC community resource includes the use by a LIP of CLC's Risk Against Litigant In Person System (RALIPS).

#### **RALIPS OVERVIEW**

- 1 Under RALIPS, a LIP subscribing to the CLC Platform may draw support from RALIPS to address a question of a risk which a LIP has identified against him/her made by a Public Officer during the course of self-representation.
- 2 After identifying such a risk, a LIP can refer the matter to the CLC RALIPS TEAM (RT) for the purpose to assess the risk.
- 3 Once the RT has assessed the risk it then can escalate the matter under CLC RALIPS Guidelines to warrant the issuance of Polarity-Zero Alert (PZA). A PZA is an issue which questions the conduct of a Public Officer in the course of his/her duty, and such conduct MAY have the propensity to be caught into the orbit of **Administration of Justice Act.**



As a general rule, if the RT issue PZA it will then refer the matter to CLC Board of Commissioners In Mandates (BOCiM), who if validates an issue of a risk against a LIP do then return the referral to RT under the term "Charged External Complaint" (CEC), which is essentially a consent for RT to access "Fiduciary in Principle" (FIP) policy. At this stage, a LIP subject to a live risk is thus referred to as Subject Litigant In Person (SLIP).

Under RALIPS the elevation of a LIP to a SLIP then allows the same to enter into vis a vis arrangement with relevant <u>delinquent</u> party/parties with a view to seeking a resolution of issue/matter before Action is sanctioned under FIP.

# Subject Gamer Brand Number (SGBN) A7941ET

With the full authority of CLC, I as the inaugural LIP Subject Gamer (SG) to Public Protean Defense Format Appeal Against Conviction Tablet (PDF ACCT), in the matter of Rex V H Rhoad (Case Number: T20197484) write to you in your capacity as Resident Administrative Judicial Secretariat of Wolverhampton Crown Court Centre (WCCC). My Public PDF ACCT Subject Gamer Brand Number (SGBN) is SGBNA7941ET.

#### **Conduct of Suspect-Karen Clark**

As SGBN A7941ET, I draw your attention to a CEC that CLC has issued regarding the conduct of suspect-Karen Clark, an Administration Officer of WCCC in respect to the matter of Rex v H Rhoad (Case Number: T20197484). The CEC has been issued following complaints from members of The Public who have been made privy to the PZA.

As the SGBN A7941ET, I am responsible for taking this matter forward. I request that you direct any of your correspondences addressed to me quoting the above case reference number.

## **Standard Issue**

Obviously, as a Judicial Officer you are required, in common with all other Judicial Officers to uphold the Rule of Law and the proper Administration of Justice which is made clear to you under the Administration of Justice Act.



### **Regulatory Concern**

The RT has assessed a risk specific concern raised by SLIP SGBN A7941ET citing WCCC as a **delinquent** as matters held within Exhibit 1 attached.

The RT has assessed the nature of the risk and determined the risk to be real. Thus, as there is a valid concern over regulatory breach of the Administration of Justice Act the RT has issued a PZA. However, if other issues emerge during the course of our engagement with you it may also be necessary to explore these. CLC will notify you if this is the case.

Whilst this regulatory concern is being considered, co-operation from any relevant Public Officers is welcomed. This will help ensure this matter is concluded as soon as possible. Obviously, it is important a response is received so we can decide on what course of action to pursue in order to resolve this matter in full. CLC can, if we so deem it to be in the public interest to do so, also publish on the CLC website a Complaint Tracking Status Report (CTSR) summarising the complaint and where the matter presently lies, or if applicable publish any final resolution to the matter. If CLC decides to publish a matter, it shall inform you when such a decision is reached.

You may wish to consider whether **YOU** and/or the suspect-Karen Clark need to seek legal or other professional advice. This is a decision for you and/or the suspect-Karen Clark.

#### Information Requested From The COURT

In order to consider how to progress this matter I hereby <u>demand</u> that you fully explain the contents of Exhibit 1.

I look forward to hearing from you within 7 working days of this letter. If you cannot meet this deadline, please inform CLC immediately, and to do so before the deadline expires.

In the event, you fail to forward a reply, CLC shall reserve the right to invoke any of the following options in concert:

- 4 A right to an audience with a Judge under the Legal Services Act; and/or
- 5 Register a complaint to the Bar Council; and/or
- 6 Register a complaint to the Judicial Conduct Office; and/or
- 7 Register a predetermined output referred to as RISK AGAINT PARTY EXECUTED (**RAPE**).



In the event output (7) above is invoked, any future correspondence with the relevant perpetrator will carry the **RAPIST** as a prefix to the perpetrator's name.

This list is non exhaustive.

Harinder Singh Rhoad Litigant in Person CLC - SGBN A7941ET